

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

- PRI IGATIONINO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/239,024	01/27/1999	STEPHEN L. BUCHWALD	MTV-009.02	5582	
22.01	90 09/25/2002 CLUD	EXAMINER			
FOLEY HOAG LLP PATENT GROUP, WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD			BARTS, SAMUEL A		
BOSTON, MA	02110-2600	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1621 DATE MAILED: 09/25/2002	, 16	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
		09/239,024	DUCUBATAL D. E.T. AL		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Office Action Guilliary	Samuel A Barts		1621	
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover	sheet with the c		-
Period for	r Reply				,
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLANLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, howe	ver, may a reply be tin mum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on an	nendment filed 8/27	<u>7/2002</u> .		
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-fi	nal.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of Claims	vance except for for er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> ,	rmal matters, p 1935 C.D. 11,	rosecution as to the mer 453 O.G. 213.	its is
	Claim(s) 1.3-5 and 8-35 is/are pending in the	e application.			
,,,,,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consider	ation.		
E .	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) 1,3-5 and 8-35 is/are rejected.				
7)					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election require	ment.		
	ion Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner. 			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) dbjec	ted to by the Ex	aminer.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance.	see 37 CFR 1.05(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∐ approv	ea b)∐ aisappi	Toved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in		cuon.		
1	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			(a) (d) or (f)	•
1	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. 9 118	(a)-(u) or (r).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		ea		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been red	eivea.	-ti-n Na	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been red	eived in Applica	ation ino	10
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified	copies not recei	ved.	
141	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119	9(e) (to a provisional app	lication).
1	a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional applica	ition has been r	eceived.	
Attachme					
2) 🗆 No	itice of References Cited (PTO-892) hice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Not	5) 📮	Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)

Application/Control Number: 09/239,024 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1,3-5, and 8-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as 1. containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The amendment in question is the phrase "at least one non-chelating agent". Applicant has failed to point specifically to where support for this amendment is in the specification. Applicant states that it can be found throughout the application, including the Exemplification. The examiner did not find any support for this language. There is even ambiguity in the specification as to which ligands are chelating and which ligands are not. On page 34 line 19 applicant actually states "but probably still chelating ligands" when discussing certain bulky and less electron donating ligands. In addition, on pages 34-37 applicants constantly refers to chelating ligands being used. Therefore, applicant has not demonstrated that at the time that this application was filed that the inventive concept of a Group 8 metal with a non-chelating ligand was in his possession. If supports for this amendment exist applicant is requested to explicitly point to where in the specification such language exist.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/239,024 Page 3

Art Unit: 1621

3. Claims 1, 3-5 and 8-35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hartwig et al (US 6,057,456). For reasons see previous office action. Since no support for the amendment exist the previous rejection remains valid.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel A Barts whose telephone number is 703-308-4630. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johan Richter can be reached on 308-1235. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Samuel A Barts Primary Examiner Art Unit 1621

s.b. September 23, 2002